

When the Tailwind Fades

How much of your growth survives without the external advantage?

Dr. David Sven | VIET TA



AI-generated illustration. Not a photograph of an actual event.

Revenue rises, orders arrive and capacity fills. Management sees evidence that its strategy is working. Yet the same results can come from very different sources: stronger execution, a favourable market, or a temporary advantage created by trade policy.

The distinction matters when a company commits to new factories, debt or a permanently larger cost base. A business can grow organically, without an acquisition, and still depend heavily on conditions it does not control. Organic growth describes how revenue expands. It does not establish why customers buy.

Orders can move before factories do

The September US-China trade discussions offer a timely reason to examine that question. The White House published product lists for consideration for reciprocal reductions in tariff treatment, subject to domestic laws and processes. These recommendations should not be treated as a general tariff reduction already in force.

Tuoi Tre News subsequently reported the possibility of pressure on short-term orders and pricing for some Vietnamese manufacturers, while distinguishing that exposure from longer-term production-location decisions. That is a risk to test, rather than evidence that a broad reversal has occurred.

A buyer can renegotiate a price or redirect part of its next order without closing a Vietnamese factory. A manufacturer can retain its customer and still lose the margin that made its expansion attractive.

Strong national growth does not establish the resilience of a particular order book. Credit expansion can help finance productive investment, but it does not establish that borrowers can service the resulting obligations. Management must test its own exposure rather than borrow confidence from aggregate momentum.

For manufacturers in Vietnam, the useful question is therefore specific: if the relative advantage over another production location narrows, which orders and margins remain defensible?

The operating risk is a mismatch in commitment. Orders and prices can change while capacity, repayment obligations and the cost base remain. Test that mismatch before a favourable order book becomes the foundation for expansion.

The commitment gap

The risk emerges when temporary demand is treated as proof of permanent competitiveness. Additional orders raise utilisation and improve fixed-cost absorption. Stronger reported earnings then support a larger plant, additional borrowing and a higher break-even point.

If the external advantage narrows, customers may request price concessions, reduce volumes or restore competing suppliers. Capacity, repayments and much of the operating cost remain. A change outside the company reaches the business through the gap between flexible customer commitments and less flexible company commitments.

Keeping an order through price concessions can protect turnover while weakening contribution and cash collection. Debt service then competes with working capital for a smaller cash surplus. More borrowing may bridge a timing gap; it cannot repair a persistently unprofitable order.

There is another possible pathway. Management uses the same period of strong demand to reduce defects, shorten lead times, develop products, strengthen supplier reliability and earn customer qualification. The original advantage may fade, but the capabilities built during it can make substitution harder.

The management task is to distinguish commitments that assume the advantage will continue from investments that reduce dependence on it.

THE COMMITMENT GAP

Company commitment	CUSTOMER COMMITMENT	
	More stable	More flexible
Harder to adjust	Test the terms	Exposure to reversal
Easier to adjust	Preserve options	Monitor demand

AI-generated diagram for explanatory purposes.

AI-generated diagram for explanatory purposes.

Qualitative orientation, not a calibrated risk score. Assess the actual terms.

From profit pressure to cash exposure

Consider an illustrative manufacturer with 100 units of sales at a price of 1 per unit. Variable cost is 0.75 per unit and fixed operating cost is 20. Operating profit is 5.

If volume falls to 90 units and price falls to 0.98, while unit variable cost and fixed cost remain unchanged, revenue becomes 88.2 and operating profit falls to 0.7. The business still sells most of its output, but loses most of its operating profit.

ILLUSTRATIVE STRESS SCENARIO

Base case

Revenue

100

100 units × 1.00

Profit

5

Price and volume pressure

Revenue

88.2

90 units × 0.98

Profit

0.7

Unit variable cost 0.75 | Fixed operating cost 20
Operating profit precedes interest and principal payments
Illustration only. Revenue and profit bars use separate scales.

AI-generated diagram for explanatory purposes.

AI-generated diagram for explanatory purposes.

Illustration only. Revenue and profit bars use separate scales.

This is an arithmetic stress scenario, not a forecast or an industry benchmark. Actual results depend on cost flexibility, product mix, contracts and management response. Its purpose is to reveal how simultaneous price and volume pressure can interact with a fixed cost base.

Cash exposure requires a separate calculation. Include interest, scheduled principal, slower collections and inventory funding. Stress refinancing availability and any applicable interest-rate reset. A positive operating profit can coexist with insufficient cash to meet these obligations.

Test the cash shortfall and liquidity headroom before approving expansion. Refinancing is an assumption to validate, not an automatic source of repayment.

Test what customers are paying for

For each material customer-product combination, management should answer three questions using evidence rather than confidence.

First, why does the customer choose us? Separate the delivered-price advantage created by external conditions from quality, lead time, technical capability, qualification and service. Support the answer with tender feedback, price comparisons and purchasing discussions. Mixed explanations are normal; an unsupported explanation is a blind spot.

Second, what happens if the advantage narrows? Finance and sales should model price concessions and volume losses; operations should specify when costs can adjust. Add working capital, interest and principal by payment date, then test liquidity if refinancing is delayed or unavailable.

Third, what have we built during the favourable period? Seek observable improvement: fewer defects, shorter development cycles, dependable delivery, qualified supplier relationships or customer-specific know-how. Larger capacity and more customers do not, by themselves, demonstrate stronger capability.

CUSTOMER / PRODUCT EXPOSURE RECORD

Test	Evidence	Decision input
Why customers buy	Tender feedback Delivered prices	Advantage or capability
Downside exposure	Cash collections Debt service	Cash shortfall and headroom
What remains	Defects and lead times Customer validation	Defensible improvement

AI-generated diagram for explanatory purposes.

AI-generated diagram for explanatory purposes.

The output is a customer-product exposure table recording the reason for purchase, contribution at risk, cash implications and evidence of defensible capability. Uncertainty remains explicit rather than being converted into an artificial score.

Make expansion conditional

The CEO should require the exposure table before a material capacity commitment. Sales owns the evidence about customer choice; operations owns cost flexibility and capability improvement; the CFO combines these into commercial and cash scenarios. The investment decision remains with the authorised executive or board.

Where returns depend on an uncertain external advantage, consider staged capacity, stronger customer commitments or investment in defensible capability. Match debt maturities to realistic cash generation and preserve liquidity for the downside. Additional credit alone does not make the investment resilient.

Reopen the assumptions when relevant trade treatment changes, a major buyer seeks concessions or order patterns weaken. Compare the evidence with the approved investment case, rather than waiting for an annual strategy review.

What remains after the advantage fades

The test of management quality is what the company builds while conditions are favourable. Growth becomes more resilient when yesterday's opportunity leaves behind capabilities that tomorrow's customers still value.

Capital origin is a separate dimension. Foreign financing can support lasting capability; a domestically owned business can remain dependent on external demand. Ownership alone does not answer the exposure test.

For a discussion of growth resilience and investment exposure: contact@viet-ta.com | linkedin.com/company/viettransformationadvisors

Sources and scope

Prepared with AI assistance. Editorial responsibility: VIET TA.

Context checked 5 October 2026. White House, U.S.-China Board of Trade, 27 September: tariff recommendations remain subject to domestic processes. Tuoi Tre News, 4 October: possible short-term order pressure is distinct from relocation. Dan tri, 3 October: outstanding system credit reached VND 20.75 quadrillion, up 11.59% since end-2025; this is neither corporate credit alone nor a default measure. No rising default rate or general lending-rate increase is asserted. Analysis and illustrative scenario: VIET TA.

whitehouse.gov/releases/2026/09/u-s-china-board-of-trade/

news.tuoi-tre.vn/after-us-china-tariff-deal-will-orders-shift-away-from-vietnam-103261004092048945.htm

dantri.com.vn/kinh-doanh/du-no-tin-dung-dat-2075-trieu-ty-dong-sau-9-thang-20261003154505382.htm